

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1894-95
191.
(Mur-sa)

File No. 5-

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month

SUBJECT.

Directing the assumption of management
of the Sri Lakshmi Narasimhaswami
in Shimoga & of the Jodi Luan village of
Konnarahale attached to it.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES

LATER REFERENCES.

(1)

Murari

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, *8th June* 1894

May 58 1894-5

9

Official Memorandum.

SUBJECT NO.

THE attention of *Dy. Commr.*

Shri. Sh. is drawn
to Subject No. *101* of the Dasara Representative Assembly Proceedings of 1893.

and he is requested to *report in*

detail on the alleged
mismanagement of the
Lakshminarayanaswami
Temple in Shri. Sh.


and what measures
he will propose to put
the management
of the temple.

By Order,

u /
Chief Secretary

From the same no 17 of 3/7/94

(General Serial No. 30.)



From

The Dy Commissioner,
Shrinoga St.

To

Muzrai
The Chief Secretary to the Government
of Mysore.

Dated $\frac{32}{4}^{\text{th}}$ July 194

Despatched

Received

Inclosures No. .

General No. $\frac{17}{2}$
No. $\frac{17}{2}$

With reference to his Hl
Memo No 1339 of $\frac{8}{7}$ June/94
reports on the mismanage-
ment of the Lakshmi -
Naraina Swami Temple
in Shrinoga - - (Subject
No 101 of Dasara Representative
assembly Bro of 1893)

To the same $\frac{40}{5-94}$ of 17/7/4

Commissioner's Office
Shimoga District
3^d July 1894

No 2 ✓

To The Muzroi Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your official
Memorandum No 1339 of the 8th June
last, I have the honor to state that
the Lakshminaraswami Temple is not
under the management of the Muzroi
Department.

2. From the report of the District
Muzroi Officer, it is evident that it
is grossly mismanaged in every way
and it requires heavy repairs.

3. As recommended by that Officer,
the management of the temple may
be taken over by the Muzroi Depart-
ment, but before finally recommending
this measure to Government, I would
call upon the Valivatdar (Ramarao

Jahagirdar, Mahadevapura.) residing
in Mysore to show cause. Why this
should not be done.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedt. servant.

Abdul Rahman, K.B.
of Commissioner
Srinagar Dist^o

MDL

(3)

1894

1894

Read:—

Subject no 101 ~~brought~~
up of the Basara
Representative Assembly
Proceedings of 1893 in
the Mismanagement
of the Sri Lakshmi
Narainaswami Temple
in Shivoga

Also.

Letter no. $\frac{17}{2}$ of 3rd July 94
from the Dy Comm^r ^{Muvoga} ~~Kolara~~
reporting
~~stating~~ that the
temple is grossly mis-
managed and requires
heavy repairs and
further ^{recommending} ~~stating~~ that
the temple might be
taken over under
Govt Management

$\int \frac{d}{dx}$
No. 40 of 7/5/94
m/s 7/5/94

Order thereon:—

The Dy Comm^r's

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
Muzarai I. No.Left Subd

letter read above
is recorded with
the remark that
he shd. call upon
the present Vahi-
vaddan of the temple,
to explain why the
affairs of the Instn.
are for so grossly
mismanaged and
why, as proposed
by the Representative
Members, the Instn.
and the ~~lands~~ ^{land} ~~duam~~
lands attached thereto
shd. not be taken over
under Muzarai
Management—

as
Percy


20 The Dylorny

12/27/21 Shunogad

From the same No 164 7/25 8

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894



From *The Dy. Commissioner
Chinnog District*

To *Muzaroi*
*The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.*

Dated *23rd August 1894.*

Despatched

Received *27. 8. 94*

Enclosures No. *V. Record*

General No. *164*
No. *164*

*With reference to Govt.
Pro. No. 40 of 17th 8-94
Muz: 5-94
Alkand, encloses for information the notice served on the Vahvatkar of the Lakshminarayan Temple and his reply together with the connected records*

Muzaroi
27/8/94

To the Lanchos 243 8-94 27/8/94

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Dumoga District

To The Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore
Muzaroi Department.

Dated 23rd August 1914

No. $\frac{164}{14}$ Enc: Very Near

Government Pros: No. 4,0
Muz 5-94
Dated 17th ultimo, requesting to call
upon the present Vahivatdars of
the Lakshminarain Temple to
explain why the affairs of the
Institution are so grossly mis-
managed, and why, as proposed
by the Rep. Member the Institution
and the Inam lands attached there-
to should not be taken over under
Muzaroi Management.

With reference to the above
has the honor to enclose for inform-
ation the notice served on the
Vahivatdars

Vahivardas and his reply received with the ¹⁰⁵⁵ Alkoni Taluk Amildar's Report No. 131 of 31st Ulhmo, together with the connected records.

2 The undersigned is not aware of the Vahivardas being addressed by a Yadi. If he is so addressed in the District he resides, he does not deserve the indulgence for his gross mismanagement of the Temple and the village belonging to the Institution.

3 A notice to the Vahivardas with six months time for the repair of the Temple and for making necessary arrangements for the proper management of the Temple affairs, attaching the village, has been issued to him. If the Vahivardas fails to comply with the notice, the management will have to be taken up by Government or other orders passed as may be deemed fit or expedient.

M. dul Mahmood, B.A.
Deputy Commissioner


1/10/16

Read

Docket No. 164 dated
14
23rd Augt/94 from
the Dy Commr, Shimoga
Dist, reporting that a
notice has been issued
to the Vahivatdar of
the Lakshmi Narayana
Swami temple at
Shimoga, allowing
him 6 months time for
repairing the temple &
for making necessary
arrangements for the
proper management
of the Institution.

Order thereon —
Recorded.

2. A further report
is awaited as to whether
the Vahivatdar has
acted up to the terms of
~~the notice~~ ~~the notice~~
~~the notice~~ ~~the notice~~
is the notice.
G. V. Secretary


No. 243
2nd 5-94 21/4/94
Encl. 10

To The Dy Commr, Shimoga
Dist. returning
the enclosures.

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

189

MUZARAI.

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

Muzarai F. No.

L. 2, 11

*From the same no 1038
52 of 2/5/95*

6.5.95

(6)

Let me see to have report
as
to 16/5

(General Serial No. 30.)



From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore

To Muzrai
The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt
of Mysore.

Let me see to have
Dewan
As

Dated 20

Despatched

Received 6/5

Enclosures No. one paper

General No. 1034
No. 4

The Mysore Dyke
will answer manage
ment of the Temple &
of the main village
which forms its
endowment.

31-7-95

With reference to Pro:
No. 243 dated 1st
Dec. 75-94
September 1894 report
that the Wakifatdar
of the Subrahmanya
Swami temple at
Mysore not having
taken any steps to
repair it the main
village of Kominahalli
has been placed under
Govt management.

1038
54

SS

16

Deputy Commisr's office
Shrinogudistrict
Shrinoga 21 May 1895

To

The Municipal Secretary
to the Corp. of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your

Pro: No. 243 dated 1st September
in 75-94

1894, I have the honor to
report that the Maheswathur
of Lakshminarayana Swami
Temple at Shrinoga not
having taken any steps
for repairing the temple
nor for placing the
Institution under proper
management within the
time of six months allowed
in the notice served

upon him, the Indian village
of Kominahale attached to
the Institution has been
placed under Government
management by the Deputy
Commissioner, vide his order
No. 955. The notice and the
order referred to above are
submitted herewith for in-
formation and return

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your Most Obedt Servt



For Offg Dy Commr
Shimogoshi



7. 6
 వచ్చి గుంటూరు గ్రామము వాకిలికి
 విడుదలచేసిన నల్ల
 నల్ల గుంటూరు గ్రామము వాకిలికి
 గుంటూరు గ్రామము వాకిలికి
 1895 నాల్గవేల మేరము
 రాదలము గుంటూరు గ్రామము వాకిలికి
 వాకిలికి గుంటూరు గ్రామము వాకిలికి

యుద్ధం నయోగమున గ్రహనతయ్యును యుక్తు యుగళా
 లయగ్రహ దీపకాననకవతినాచరదేయం ప్రేతరక్షకరక్ష
 శింఖలంబున ప్రవృత్తవాచనగ్రహనతయ్యు యుద్ధం వల
 దీపరక్షదీపకాననకవతినాచరదేయం ప్రేతరక్షకరక్ష

[illegible]

8 January 1901
 Christmas
 Sreenivasa Rao

యలక్ష్మీనారాయణ ద్వైతశాస్త్రము మధ్య ప్రాచీన
 శ్రీరాయలకుంజానతి ధడపల్లియ్యవరదరించ బ్రహ్మ
 పురశ్రేష్ఠ దేవతను శ్రీ సంతాననగరం బంశాధ్యక్షుడు
 కౌండు ద్వైతర గుణావధృతినతే మన దక్క బడగ బన్న
 ని యిదే. యుగ ద్వైతశాస్త్ర సప్రేక్షాం దురక్షాని యిలువదరించ
 బ్రహ్మనగరనే కౌం దరిచ్చం లాని యిదే— యుగంశరగర
 యల్ల బావం దరవరు గం. గుణానాని ని బ్రహ్మశాస్త్రం
 యుగ మవన్న నలువ వాల్మీకి శాస్త్రానికి— ఆకారవ స్వరూ
 యుగి ముముల త్రికార త్రిమండ ప్రకరణము—
 అంతర్యమందు ద్వైతరశ్వేదేయస్వ సతే మన దాంశ
 మంతి ధ్యాయనాని బ్రహ్మ యుగమంత అంతర్యమంత
 దక్షరశ్వేదర దే ప్రంబర్య బ్రహ్మ బ్రహ్మ యుగమంత
 ముగ్ధుల యిరువ శ్రుమ ముగ్ధులదాని— యుగమంత
 తలగర్యమంత అంతర్యమంత నామ సంకల గుణ
 బ్రహ్మ యుగమంత శాస్త్రానితరం దక్షరయారము త్రి
 త్రివరవరదక్షా ప్రకరించ వ సులభాని సరకారవ
 కౌం దక్షర దక్షానితర కౌం దక్షర లాక దక్షరవ— ఆ ద్వైతశాస్త్ర

30 January 1900
 Kristina
 Schenivasa Rao

४४८

John F. Kennedy

১৬৪৪

हनुमान चालीसा

John F. Smith

Handwritten signature

Wm. H. Hoffmann
 1874-1875

कनकप्रसाद

नि ता प्र ष क र्त्तु - ३
य (कुं) उ जो इ स न क र्त्तु

य। मुं० उ० जो० रू स न रु शु

रुद्रयज्ञ-पाठि

M. S. S. R. Rao.

Government of Mysore
Muzrai

Received
25/5/1895

Read:—

Representation made in the
Dasara Representative Assembly
of 1893 (Subject No 101 in the Proceedings
of that year) bringing to the notice of
Government, the neglected state of the
Lakshmi Narayanaswami temple
in Kasaba Shimoga.

Correspondence on the
above ending with letter No ⁵⁴ 1038 dated
2nd May 1895, from the Deputy Com-
missioner, Shimoga District.

Petition dated 3rd May
1895, from the residents of Shimoga
representing that the temple has been
sadly neglected by its present Manager
W^m Ramakow of Mahadevapura
and praying that the institution
and its endowments may be taken
over under Muzrai management.

Order thereon No: 296
Dated Bangalore, 13th August 1895

in the town of Shimoga The temple of Lakshmi Narayana
~~is a Hindu temple~~ Swamish in Shimoga ~~is endowed with~~
~~the marginally noted~~ Inam village


in Shimoda ^{for its support and the performance}
of the daily Pula and other services

2. On a representation made in the Dasaia Assembly of 1893 that the management of the temple was very unsatisfactory, the Deputy Commissioner held an enquiry into the matter and finding the temple grossly neglected by its present manager Mr Ramahow of Mahaderputa and the ^{temple} building itself in need of heavy repairs, reported the same for the orders of Government. Thereupon an order was issued by Government ^{requesting} ~~instructing~~ the Deputy Commissioner to issue a notice to the Manager Mr Ramahow, calling upon him to explain why the Institution had been so badly neglected and to shew cause why the temple and its endowments should not be taken over under Muzrai management. In reply, Deputy Commissioner 9-12

of the
has failed to take any steps towards
repairing the temple and improving
the management of the Inam village
and that therefore the latter has been
placed under attachment.

3. Under the foregoing cir-
cumstances, the Government direct
that the management of the temple
and its endowments be assumed

by the Muzrai Dept and placed in Charge of the Taluk Amildar of Shimoga Taluk	by the Muzrai Department and placed under the ^{immediate} supervision of the Amildar of the Shimoga Taluk. The Deputy Commissioner will be requested to submit detailed proposals towards the restoration of the Institution to an efficient condition
---	---


Secretary.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

returning Vetravale papers

Print

READ :—

(1) Representation made in the Dasara Representative Assembly of 1893 (Subject No 101 in the Proceedings of that year) bringing to the notice of Government the neglected state of the Lakshminarayanaswami temple in Kasaba Shimoga.

(2) Correspondence on the above, ending with letter No. 1038—54, dated 2nd May 1895, from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District.

(3) Petition, dated 3rd May 1895, from the residents of Shimoga, representing that the temple has been sadly neglected by its present Manager, Mr. Rama Rao of Mahadevapura, and praying that the institution and its endowments may be taken over under Muzrai management.

No. 294—MUZ. F. 5-94, DATED, BANGALORE, 27TH AUGUST 1895.

ORDER THEREON.—The temple of Lakshminarayanaswami in the town of Shimoga is endowed with the Inam village of Kummanahalli in Shimoga Taluk, for its support and the performance of the daily puja and other services.

2. On a representation made in the Dasara Assembly of 1893 that the management of the temple was very unsatisfactory, the Deputy Commissioner held an enquiry into the matter, and finding the temple grossly neglected by its present Manager, Mr. Rama Rao of Mahadevapura, and the temple building itself in need of heavy repairs, reported the same for the orders of Government. Thereupon, an order was issued by Government requesting the Deputy Commissioner to issue a notice to the Manager calling upon him to explain why the institution had been so sadly neglected and to show cause why the temple and its endowments should not be taken over under Muzrai management. In reply, the Deputy Commissioner now reports that, in spite of the notice, the Manager has failed to take any steps towards repairing the temple and improving the management of the Inam village, and that therefore the latter has been placed under attachment.

3. Under the foregoing circumstances, the Government direct that the management of the temple and its endowments be assumed by the Muzrai Department and placed in charge of the Amildar of Shimoga Taluk. The Deputy Commissioner will be requested to submit detailed proposals towards the restoration of the institution to an efficient condition.

as
Muzrai Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, returning Vernacular papers

GOVERNMENT OF MY

M U Z R A J

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 27th August 1895

No 294
Muz 1st -94.

Directing the Muzrai Department to assume the management of the Lakshminarasimhaswami temple in Shimoga and of the Jodi Inam village of Kommanahalu attached to it.